UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

JUANITA M. RIVERA and ANTHONY RIVERA)))
Plaintiffs,) CIVIL ACTION NO. 12-cy-07715-RMB-JS
v.))
NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING)
PHARMACY, INC., d/b/a NEW ENGLAND)
COMPOUNDING CENTER;)
AMERIDOSE, LLC; ALAUNUS)
PHARMACEUTICAL, LLC; PREMIER)
ORTHOPAEDIC AND SPORTS MEDICINE)
ASSOCIATES OF SOUTHERN NEW)
JERSEY, LLC, also trading as PREMIER)
ORTHOPAEDIC ASSOCIATES; SOUTH)
JERSEY HEALTHCARE; SOUTH JERSEY)
REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER;)
KIMBERLEY YVETTE SMITH, M.D., a/k/a)
KIMBERLEY YVETTE SMITH-MARTIN,)
M.D.; JOHN DOE(S) CORPORATIONS (1-4);)
and JOHN DOE(S) M.D. (1-3),)
Defendants.)
)

MOTION OF DEFENDANT ALAUNUS PHARMACEUTICAL, LLC TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM PURSUANT TO FED.R.CIV.P. 12(b)(6)

Defendant, Alaunus Pharmaceutical, LLC, by and through its counsel, hereby moves the Court to dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).

Plaintiffs, Juanita Rivera and Anthony Rivera, wife and husband (collectively the "Riveras"), bring this product liability action against Defendants, New England Compounding Pharmacy, Inc. ("NECC"), Ameridose, LLC ("Ameridose"), and Alaunus Pharmaceutical, LLC ("Alaunus"), as well as a medical malpractice action against Co-Defendants, Premier Orthopaedic

and Sports Medicine Associates of Southern New Jersey, LLC, also trading as Premier Orthopaedic Associates ("Premier Orthopaedics"), South Jersey Healthcare ("SJH"), South Jersey Regional Medical Center ("SJRMC"), Kimberley Yvette Smith, M.D., a/k/a Kimberley Yvette Smith-Martin, M.D. ("Dr. Smith"), John Doe(s) Corporations (1-4), and John Doe(s) M.D. (1-3) (collectively referred to as the "Healthcare Providers"). Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint asserts various causes of action against NECC, Ameridose, Alaunus, and the Healthcare Providers arising out of alleged injuries suffered by Juanita Rivera from an injection of a contaminated steroid compound that was allegedly administered by Dr. Smith at SJH and manufactured, compounded and/or sold by NECC. See Pl. Amend. Comp., ¶ 26 - 30. Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint is in five (5) counts. The first four (4) counts, which are asserted collectively by the Riveras, include: Count I – Violation of the New Jersey Product Liability Act, N.J. Stat. s. 2A:58C-1, et. seq. (the "NJPLA") (against all defendants); Count II – Negligence (against NECC, Ameridose, Alaunus, and the John Doe Corporations); Count III – Negligence (against all of the Healthcare Providers);¹ and, Count IV - Breach of Express Warranty (against NECC, Ameridose, Alaunus, and the John Doe Corporations). Count V of the Amended Complaint - Loss of Consortium - is asserted by Anthony Rivera against all of the defendants in this action. See id., generally.

As set forth more fully in Alaunus' Memorandum in Support of Its Motion to Dismiss, which has been filed in conjunction with this Motion, Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint should be dismissed for six (6) reasons. First, all of the claims should be dismissed as to Alaunus because the Amended Complaint does not plead sufficient facts to show that Alaunus is vicariously liable for NECC's alleged tortious conduct under an alter-ego liability theory. Second, all counts of the Amended Complaint should be dismissed as to Alaunus because the pleading impermissibly

¹ This Motion to Dismiss does not contain any discussion regarding Count III of the Amended Complaint because that count is asserted against the Healthcare Providers, not Alaunus.

"lumps" NECC, Ameridose, and Alaunus together. Third, Count I of the Amended Complaint

should be dismissed because it fails to state a viable claim under the NJPLA against Alaunus.

Fourth, Counts II and V should be dismissed because the Plaintiffs' claims for common law

negligence and loss of consortium are subsumed under the NJPLA. Fifth, Counts II and IV should

be dismissed – even if they are not subsumed by the NJPLA (which they are) - because Plaintiffs

have failed to plead facts sufficient to give rise to a reasonable inference that Alaunus is liable for

the misconduct alleged since there are no facts in the Amended Complaint showing that Alaunus

manufactured or distributed any defective product into the stream of commerce; that Plaintiffs'

alleged injuries were caused by the defective product; or, that the products allegedly consumed by

the Plaintiff are traceable to Alaunus. Sixth, the loss of consortium claim fails as a matter of law

because that claim is derived from the spouse's failed claims against Alaunus.

For these reasons, Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint should be dismissed in its entirety, or at

the very least as to Alaunus, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6).

Respectfully Submitted, Alaunus Pharmaceutical, LLC,

By its Attorney,

/s/ Michele Hunton

Michele Hunton

Lawson & Weitzen, LLP

88 Black Falcon Ave, Suite 345

Boston, MA 02210

Tel: (617) 439-4990

mhunton@lawson-weitzen.com

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing has been filed with the Clerk of the Court on February 22, 2013 using the CM/ECF system which sent notification of this filing to all ECF registered counsel of record via e-mail generated by the Court's ECF system.

/s/ Michele Hunton

Michele Hunton Lawson & Weitzen, LLP 88 Black Falcon Ave, Suite 345 Boston, MA 02210 Tel: (617) 439-4990

mhunton@lawson-weitzen.com